

## submissions

---

**From:** Wayne Forde [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, 5 September 2014 5:08 PM  
**To:** submissions  
**Subject:** Submissions on GM processing aid application

Dear Sir, Madam.

Please accept my submission for 'GM processing aid application' where Novozymes Australia seeks approval to use a genetically modified enzyme, xylanase, for use in the bread-making industry.

Listed on your website: <http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/media/pages/call-for-submissions-on-gm-processing-aid-application.aspx>

Closing date 5/9/2014

My contact details:

Wayne Forde

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Summary:

No summary included as my total submissions is very brief:

I object to the 'GM processing aid application' where Novozymes Australia seeks approval to use a genetically modified enzyme, xylanase, for use in the bread-making industry.

My submission is not from a scientific point of view but as a citizen and a consumer.

Dr Healy states; "The FSANZ safety assessment concluded there were no public health and safety concerns associated with the use of the enzyme," but this does not address the concerns, either real or imaginary, for the people who object to the use of GMOs in our environment, who have the right to live life in harmony within the constraints of nature, and not have a small groups from society motivated by financial returns, make rules that effectively remove ones freedom to live life in the belief that life is the result of a creator.

1. Reasons for my objections:

I believe there is now sufficient evidence which confirms what opponents to GMOs have long suspected; the philosophy behind GMOs are only beneficial to the companies licensed to produce and sell genetically modified organisms, and do not benefit land owners, farmers or consumers as this industry would have us believe.

ref: [http://opinionator.blogs.nytimes.com/2013/04/02/why-do-g-m-o-s-need-protection/?\\_php=true&\\_type=blogs&\\_r=0](http://opinionator.blogs.nytimes.com/2013/04/02/why-do-g-m-o-s-need-protection/?_php=true&_type=blogs&_r=0)

<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1082559/The-GM-genocide-Thousands-Indian-farmers-committing-suicide-using-genetically-modified-crops.html>

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-seeds-of-suicide-how-monsanto-destroys-farming/5329947>

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/killer-seeds-the-devastating-impacts-of-monsanto-s-genetically-modified-seeds-in-india/28629>

2. When you consider the overwhelming complexities that make up living organisms (ref: [http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/ciencia/esp\\_ciencia\\_life13.htm](http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/ciencia/esp_ciencia_life13.htm)), one realizes; how little man actually knows, but one thing we do know for certain is; man's decisions are largely influenced by monetary values. Together these represent an inevitable unacceptable risk.

3. Science is the learning from mistakes in a laboratory via experimentation. Unless the science is 100% predictable, then we should not let the experiment leave the laboratory and place at risk not just our generation but all future generations. As the religious minded would say "it is blaspheme against the Creator's creation".

4. Seriously, how can you improve an Orange, a Lemon, a Banana, are they not perfect in their own right. Why run the risk of destroying something that is perfect? Haven't we done enough damage to this planet already in the name of greed and stupidity.

Yours Sincerely

Wayne Forde