

Short sequence-paper

Sequence polymorphism and structural analysis of timothy grass pollen profilin allergen (Phl p 11)¹Juan A. Asturias^{*}, M. Carmen Arilla, Borja Bartolomé, Jorge Martínez, Alberto Martínez, Ricardo Palacios*R & D Department, IFIDESA-ARISTEGUI, Bilbao, Spain*

Received 3 February 1997; revised 25 March 1997; accepted 1 April 1997

Abstract

Three cDNA clones encoding timothy grass pollen profilin (Phl p 11) were newly isolated. Comparison of the sequences of four cDNA clones, including a previously isolated clone, showed a low level of polymorphism. Isoelectrofocusing of highly purified timothy grass profilin indicated the existence of at least five isoforms. One recombinant profilin showed similar immunological properties to natural timothy grass profilin. Tertiary structure of *Phleum pratense* profilin was obtained by homology-based molecular modeling. © 1997 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: Phl p 11; Sequence polymorphism; Recombinant allergen; Gene expression; Timothy grass pollen; Variant

Profilin, a small cytoskeletal protein found in numerous eukaryotic cells, interacts specifically with at least two macromolecules in the cell: phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate and actin. The dual binding capabilities of profilin suggest its implication in the signal transduction cascade to cytoskeletal rearrangements [1,2]. Profilin has also been described as an allergen present in pollen of trees, grasses and weeds [3,4]. Profilin polymorphism has been described in tabacum [5], maize [6] and wheat [7], but not in bean [8], birch [9], *Phleum* [10] or *Cynodon* (Asturias et al., submitted). Allergen polymorphism has been ob-

served in numerous systems and, depending of the location of these sequence polymorphisms, recognition of IgE/IgG may be affected. The three polymorphic Der f 2 variants were equally recognized by IgE from 14 mite allergic patients [11]. On the other hand, nine Bet v 1 isoforms, sharing an average identity of 84–99%, displayed different allergenic properties both in vivo and in vitro [12].

Three full-length cDNA sequences, PpPRO2, PpPRO3, PpPRO4, were obtained by reverse-transcribed polymerase chain reaction amplification and subcloned in pBluescript. Primers were designed according to the nucleotide sequence of timothy grass profilin described previously [10]. Inserts were sequenced using fluorescence-labeled dideoxynucleotides and the sequencing reactions were analyzed on an ABI 373A DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems Inc.). The inserts had a length of 396 bp, which encoded a polypeptide of 131 amino acids with a predicted average molecular mass of 14.1 kDa and a

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +34 4 4438016; E-mail: im000001@sarenet.es

¹ The nucleotide sequences reported in this paper have been submitted to the GeneBank™, EMBL and DDBJ Nucleotide Sequences Databases under accession numbers: Y09456 (PpPRO2), Y09457 (PpPRO3), and Y09458 (PpPRO4).

pI of 4.9. High amino acid identity (more than 97%) was found between translated sequences of the clones described here and the previously published timothy grass profilin [10] (Fig. 1). There were 10 nucleotide changes in the sequence, but only four of them resulted in two amino acid conversions. The remaining six nucleotide changes showed predominantly pyrimidine transitions (Fig. 1). One of the two amino acids changes (Gly⁶⁹ → Ala) is conservative, while the other is a conversion of an uncharged residue to a positively charged one (Ala⁸¹ → Arg), which resulted in a change of the polypeptide's isoelectric point (pI) values from 4.92 to 5.07. This change affects the conserved motif (A/V)⁸¹VIRGKKG(T/S/A)GGIT (V/D)KKT⁹⁷, that is found in all the plant profilins sequenced except PpPRO1, and is described to be involved in binding of phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bis-

phosphate [13]. Pairwise alignments, using the BEST-FIT program included in the GCG Program Package (Genetics Computer Group Inc., Madison, WI), indicated an identity among plant profilins of 73–88%.

In order to address the question of timothy grass profilin variants at the protein level, highly purified profilin was obtained from timothy grass pollen after poly-(L-proline)-Sephacrose affinity chromatography (PLP-Sephacrose) [14] and gel filtration chromatography in Superdex 75 PC 3.2/30 column (SMART, Pharmacia Biotech). After PLP-Sephacrose affinity chromatography, profilin preparation is almost pure, but due to the tendency of profilin to aggregate [15], a filtration chromatography step was performed to isolate timothy grass pollen profilin in the monomeric form. SDS-PAGE analysis [16] of the isolated protein revealed a unique band of 13.9 kDa (Fig. 2A), which

	M	S	W	Q	T	Y	V	D	E	H	L	M	C	E	I	E	G	H	H	L	20
(1)	ATG	TCG	TGG	CAG	ACG	TAC	GTG	GAC	GAG	CAC	CTG	ATG	TGC	GAG	ATC	GAG	GGC	CAC	CAC	CTC	60
(2)	
(3)	
(4)	
	A	S	A	A	I	L	G	H	D	G	T	V	W	A	Q	S	A	D	F	P	40
(1)	GCC	TCG	GCG	GCC	ATC	CTC	GGC	CAC	GAC	GGC	ACC	GTC	TGG	GCC	CAG	AGC	GCC	GAC	TTC	CCC	120
(2)	
(3)	
(4)	
	Q	F	K	P	E	E	I	T	G	I	M	K	D	F	D	E	P	G	H	L	60
(1)	CAG	TTC	AAG	CCT	GAG	GAG	ATC	ACC	GGC	ATC	ATG	AAG	GAT	TTC	GAC	GAG	CCG	GGG	CAC	CTC	180
(2)	
(3)	
(4)	
	A	P	T	G	M	F	V	A	G→A	A	K	Y	M	V	I	Q	G	E	P	G	80
(1)	GCC	CCC	ACC	GGC	ATG	TTC	GTC	GCA	GGT	GCC	AAG	TAC	ATG	GTC	ATC	CAG	GGT	GAA	CCC	GGT	240
(2)	
(3)	
(4)	
	R→A	V	I	R	G	K	K	G	A	G	G	I	T	I	K	K	T	G	Q	A	100
(1)	CGC	GTC	ATC	CGT	GGC	AAG	AAG	GGA	GCA	GGA	GGC	ATC	ACC	ATA	AAG	AAG	ACC	GGG	CAG	GCG	300
(2)	GCG	
(3)	GCG	
(4)	GCG	
	L	V	V	G	I	Y	D	E	P	M	T	P	G	Q	C	N	M	V	V	E	120
(1)	CTG	GTC	GTC	GGC	ATC	TAT	GAC	GAG	CCC	ATG	ACC	CCT	GGG	CAG	TGC	AAC	ATG	GTG	GTG	GAG	360
(2)	
(3)	
(4)	
	R	L	G	D	Y	L	V	E	Q	G	M	*									132
(1)	AGG	CTT	GGC	GAC	TAC	CTC	GTT	GAA	CAA	GGC	ATG	TAG									396
(2)									PpPro1
(3)									PpPro2
(4)									PpPro3
																					PpPro4

Fig. 1. Nucleotide and translated amino acid sequences of timothy grass profilin cDNA clones. Number on the right indicates the nucleotide or amino acid positions. Sequences are compared to the previously determined timothy grass profilin sequence (PpPRO1) [10].




Fig. 2. (A) Coomassie-stained 12% SDS-PAGE of timothy grass pollen profilin after gel filtration in Superdex 75 PC 3.2/30 column (SMART). Lane M: molecular mass markers (kDa). Lanes 1–5, correspond to 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 2 μ g of purified profilin. (B) Isoelectrofocusing analysis of purified timothy grass pollen profilin. 20 μ g of protein were applied on agarose plates (FMC BioProducts, Rockland, MN) over the range pH 3 to 10.

is in good agreement with the deduced molecular mass from the nucleotide sequence. Isoelectrofocusing analysis of this sample on agarose plates demonstrated that this band is composed of at least five isoforms of *pI* values ranging from 4.4 to 4.9 (Fig. 2B). This range of *pI* indicated that timothy grass pollen could contain more profilin sequence variants than the four described here. The high occurrence of profilin isoforms could be a cellular mechanism to assure functionality of this important protein involved in signal transduction between the outside of the cell and the actin cytoskeleton [17]. A non-fusion form of timothy grass profilin (PpPRO3) was expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3). After Western blotting [18], recombinant and natural profilin showed no differences in binding to IgG or IgE from rabbit anti-serum obtained against sunflower profilin or human sera from an allergic patient, respectively (data not shown).

The molecular structure of several profilins had been previously determined at high resolution by X-ray crystallography [19–21]. A structural model of PpPRO4 (Fig. 3) was constructed from the X-ray coordinates of profilin II from *A. castellanii* [21] using the WhatIf program [22]. Plant profilin is built around a central six-stranded antiparallel β -sheet. Both termini are α -helical and pack against the same side of the central sheet, connected by two short loops. Residues on the opposing face of the central sheet form two α -helices and a small two-stranded β -sheet. The model obtained is very similar to the three-dimensional model for human profilin [20,23], supporting the role of human profilin in prolonging allergic symptoms caused by pollen profilin in profilin-allergic patients [9]. Using the PHD Predict Protein Server from EMBL (<http://www.embl-heidelberg.de/predictprotein/>), three antigenic regions from *Phleum* profilin (Fig. 3) were predicted according to: (1) solvent accessibility and secondary structure; (2) degree of mobility based on the temperature factor of the crystallographic structure; (3) characteristics of the amino acids involved; and (4) regions with sequence differences to human profilins. The presence of antigenic determinants on loops which protruded from the surface of the protein is well-documented [24]. Molecular modeling and sequence polymorphisms characterization would help the identification of conserved regions, which could be candi-




Fig. 3. Molecular model of the *Phleum* profilin (Phl p 11 allergen). The Insight II program (Molecular Simulations Inc., San Diego, CA) was used for graphic visualizations and manipulations. Predicted antigenic regions are shown in red.

dates for the development of peptide-based immunotherapeutic reagents for pollen allergy, as has already been described for other allergens [25].

The authors thank Protein Design Group from CNB-CSIC (Spain) for helping in molecular modeling. This work was supported in part by grants 952/91 from the Plan Nacional de I + D-Farma III, 94-0299 from the CDTI (Ministerio de Industria y Energia, Spain), and 180A01 from the Programa PGTI (Departamento de Industria, Agricultura y Pesca, Basque Government).

References

- [1] I. Carlsson, L.E. Nyström, F. Sundkvist, F. Markey, U. Lindberg, *J. Mol. Biol.* 115 (1977) 465–483.
- [2] P.J. Goldschmidt-Clermont, J. Kim, L.M. Machesky, S. Rhee, T.D. Pollard, *Science* 251 (1991) 1231–1233.
- [3] R. Valenta, M. Duchêne, C. Ebner, P. Valent, C. Sillaber, P. Deviller, F. Ferreira, M. Tejkl, H. Edelmann, D. Kraft, O. Scheiner, *J. Exp. Med.* 175 (1992) 377–385.
- [4] R. Valenta, M. Duchêne, S. Vrtala, P. Valent, C. Sillaber, F. Ferreira, M. Tejkl, R. Hirschwehr, C. Ebner, D. Kraft, O. Scheiner, *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 99 (1992) 271–273.
- [5] I. Mittermann, S. Heiss, D. Kraft, R. Valenta, E. HeberleBors, *Sex Plant Reprod.* 9 (1996) 133–139.
- [6] C. Staiger, K. Goodbody, P. Hussey, R. Valenta, B. Drobak, C. Lloyd, *Plant J.* 4 (1993) 631–641.
- [7] H. Rihs, P. Rozynek, K. May-Taube, B. Welticke, X. Baur, *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 105 (1994) 190–194.
- [8] L. Vidali, H.E. Pérez, V. Valdés, R. Noguez, F. Zamudio, F. Sánchez, *Plant Physiol.* 108 (1995) 115–123.
- [9] R. Valenta, M. Duchêne, K. Pettenburger, C. Sillaber, P. Valent, P. Bettelheim, M. Breitenbach, H. Rumpold, D. Kraft, O. Scheiner, *Science* 253 (1991) 557–560.
- [10] R. Valenta, T. Ball, S. Vrtala, M. Duchêne, D. Kraft, O. Scheiner, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 199 (1994) 106–118.
- [11] C. Nishiyama, T. Yuuki, Y. Usui, N. Iwamoto, Y. Okumura, H. Okudaira, *Int. Arch. Allergy Immunol.* 105 (1994) 62–69.
- [12] F. Ferreira, K. Hirtenlehner, A. Jilek, J. Godnick-Cvar, H. Breiteneder, R. Grimm, K. Hoffmann-Sommergruber, O. Scheiner, D. Kraft, M. Breitenbach, H. Rheinberger, C. Ebner, *J. Exp. Med.* 183 (1996) 599–609.
- [13] B.K. Haarer, A.S. Petzold, S.S. Brown, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 13 (1993) 7864–7873.

- [14] U. Lindberg, C. Schutt, E. Hellsten, A. Tjäder, T. Hult, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 967 (1988) 391–400.
- [15] M. Babich, L.R.P. Foti, L. Sykaluk L, C.R. Clark, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 218 (1996) 125–131.
- [16] U.K. Laemmli, *Nature* 277 (1970) 680–685.
- [17] S. Baatout, *Eur. J. Clin. Chem. Clin. Biochem.* 34 (1996) 575–577.
- [18] H. Towbin, I. Staehelin, J. Gordon Jr., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 76 (1979) 4350–4354.
- [19] C.E. Schutt, J.C. Myslik, M.D. Rozycki, N. Goonesekere, U. Lindberg, *Nature* 365 (1993) 810–816.
- [20] A.A. Fedorov, T.D. Pollard, S.C. Almo, *J. Mol. Biol.* 241 (1994) 480–482.
- [21] A.A. Fedorov, K.A. Magnus, M.H. Graupe, E.E. Lattman, T.D. Pollard, S.C. Almo, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 91 (1994) 8636–8640.
- [22] G. Vriend, *J. Mol. Graph.* 8 (1990) 52–56.
- [23] W.J. Metzler, K.L. Constantine, M.S. Friedrichs, A.J. Bell, E.G. Ernst, T.B. Lavoie, L. Mueller, *Biochemistry* 32 (1993) 13818–13829.
- [24] B.H. Nicholson, in: B.H. Nicholson (ed.), *Synthetic Vaccines*, pp. 169–211, Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, 1994.
- [25] G. Hoyne, N. Kristensen, H. Yssel, J. Lamb, *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 7 (1995) 757–761.